# The Steady State Approach to a Fusion Pilot Plant

#### by **RJ Buttery**

with key contributions from J.M. Park, J.T. McClenaghan, D. Weisberg, J. Canik, J. Ferron, A. Garofalo, C. Holcomb, P.B. Snyder

Presented at the USBPO Webinar Series on Burning Plasma Concepts

January 20<sup>th</sup> 2022





# It's Time to Get Serious about Fusion Energy



- - Technology development
  - Plasma solutions ← Focus of this talk

- At low torque & safety factor plasma subject to disruptions
- ELMs hard to control



- At low torque & safety factor plasma subject to disruptions
- ELMs hard to control
- Materials in plasma environment & interaction with core





- At low torque & safety factor plasma subject to disruptions
- ELMs hard to control
- Materials in plasma environment & interaction with core
- Power handling in the divertor & mitigation of the challenge it faces upstream



- At low torque & safety factor plasma subject to disruptions
- ELMs hard to control
- Materials in plasma environment & interaction with core
- Power handling in the divertor & mitigation of the challenge it faces upstream
- Development of high performance core solutions and projection in reactor relevant regimes
  - Transport, stability, fast ions, pedestal, dissipation, divertor





- At low torque & safety factor plasma subject to disruptions
- ELMs hard to control
- Materials in plasma environment & interaction with core
- Power handling in the divertor & mitigation of the challenge it faces upstream
- Development of high performance core solutions and projection in reactor relevant regimes
  - Transport, stability, fast ions, pedestal, dissipation, divertor
- Choice of plasma operating scenario has primary impact on the challenge and the solutions to meet the FPP goal
  - Key questions on where to operate:
    - Current, q, pressure, field, size, shape, etc.



#### Critical issues

- At low torque & safety factor plasma subject to disruptions
- ELMs hard to control
- Materials in plasma environment & interaction with core
- Power handling in the divertor & mitigation of the challenge it faces upstream
- Development of high performance core solutions and projection in reactor relevant regimes
  - Transport, stability, fast ions, pedestal, dissipation, divertor
- Choice of plasma operating scenario has primary impact on the challenge and the solutions to meet the FPP goal
  - Key questions on where to operate
    - Current, q, pressure, field, size, shape, etc.
- Pulsed & Steady State concepts offer promising solutions
  - Strengths and challenges for both

#### Exciting research in coming years will resolve the path

#### Critical issues

- At low torque & safety factor plasma subject to disruptions
- ELMs hard to control
- Materials in plasm
- Power handling i challenge it face
- Development of and projection in
   Transport, stak
- Choice of plasma on the challenge of
  - Key questions on
    - Current, q, pressure, field, size, shape, etc.
- Pulsed & Steady State concepts offer promising solutions
  - Strengths and challenges for both

#### Exciting research in coming years will resolve the path

This talk sets out the motivation and principles of the Steady State approach to fusion energy

A choice between pulsed and steady state will become clear in coming years

We will all embrace what obviously works

RJ Buttery/USBPO/2022 9

### Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

#### Paths to an efficient & compact fusion tokamak

- -Plasma configurations, limits, pulsed & steady state
- The Steady State optimization
  - –Shaping, broad profiles & high  $\beta$  raise performance
- Pilot power plant projection and benefits
  - -Key trends in optimization & attractive solutions

#### Research needs



# Tokamak Concept Meets Fusion Challenge with Flux Surface Structure



#### Plasma current + toroidal field generates flux surfaces

- Confines hot plasma for fusion conditions
- Confines α's to heat plasma



- - Sufficient heating - Sufficient current drive  $P_{aux}$
- Leads to efficiency metric Fusion Gain,  $Q = \frac{P_{fus}}{P}$

Need to minimize auxiliary power for efficient fusion solution

RJ Buttery/USBPO/2022 11

# **Tokamak Must Confine Heat**

#### Energy confinement governed transport and turbulence

- Neoclassical transport depends on poloidal ion Larmor radius Current dependent
  - Sets base level of transport
- Turbulence driven by pressure gradients
  - Introduces more complex dependencies: B, I, ...
  - Eddy size ~ toroidal Larmor radius
- Characterize energy confinement by a timescale
  - $\tau$  = Thermal Energy /  $P_{heat} \propto Current \leftarrow empirical$
- Leads to overall thermal gain

$$Q_{th} = \frac{P_{fus}}{P_{heat}} = \frac{P^2 V}{PV/\tau} \propto Pressure.Current.H$$

What is the best path? High pressure, current or confinement efficiency?



Confinement efficiency  $(\tau/I)$ 

# Tokamak is Limited in Current and Pressure by Global MHD Modes

- Current in tokamak drives a field line twist
  - Measure through safety factor,  $q \propto RB/I$
- Twist in field drives global MHD 'kink' mode
  - Leads to limit in current for given field
    - Pressure also drives this distortion
  - Increased field, B tensions & stabilizes mode



# Tokamak is Limited in Current and Pressure by Global MHD Modes

- Current in tokamak drives a field line twist
  - Measure through safety factor,  $q \propto RB/I$
- Twist in field drives global MHD 'kink' mode
  - Leads to limit in current for given field
    - Pressure also drives this distortion
  - Increased field, **B** tensions & stabilizes mode



Magnetic islands also emerge at modest q – additional free energy as flux surfaces split



# Tokamak is Limited in Current and Pressure by Global MHD Modes

- Current in tokamak drives a field line twist
  - Measure through safety factor,  $q \propto RB/I$
- Twist in field drives global MHD 'kink' mode
  - Leads to limit in current for given field
    - Pressure also drives this distortion
  - Increased field, **B** tensions & stabilizes mode
- Magnetic islands also emerge at modest q
- 'Ballooning' limit to pressure is stabilized by increased twist (current, 1)
- Leads to Pressure limit ~ BI / R

$$\Rightarrow \beta_N = 100 \frac{2\mu_0 < P >}{B I / R\varepsilon} \text{ typically ~3-5}$$

Q. Where and how to optimize in  $\beta_N$  and q?



#### Pressure pushes field line through surface



#### Discussion: How Best to Optimize in $\beta$ , q and Confinement?

- Pulsed tokamaks optimize to high current & low q
  - Current a primary driver of confinement  $\rightarrow$  maximizes performance
  - Sustainment not a concern for performance and burning plasma proof

 Potential to yield very high performance & self-heating
 Q. High current poses a challenge for disruptions, heat loads, and device stresses

- Steady state optimizes to high β & high confinement efficiency
  - Improved plasma properties at reduced absolute parameters
  - Lower current (higher q) desirable to reduce required non-inductive current drive & recirculating power
    - ✓ Reduced disruptivity, heat loads and devices stresses
    - Q. Can these benefits be realized?





# A Steady State Tokamak Sustains Current Non-Inductively with Improved Confinement and Stability at Lower Current

- Sources of current: - 0 expensive I<sub>steady state</sub> = I<sub>0</sub>S + I<sub>self-driven</sub> + (I<sub>NBI</sub> + I<sub>waves</sub>)
- Goal: High pressure + High self-driven current Fusion power Steady-state & high gain





- The Advanced Tokamak optimizes profiles to improve stability & performance
  - Naturally generates a high self-driven
     "Bootstrap current" at high pressure
  - Reduces the need for expensive current drive

**bootstrap** 



Baron von Münchhausen

# High Pressure Gradient Leads to a Net 'Bootstrap' Current

Gyro-orbits drift due to non-uniform field lead to banana orbits



RJ Buttery/USBPO/2022 18

# Neoclassical Theory of Bootstrap Current Validated in Tokamak Edge 'Pedestal' Region

- Strong pressure gradients arise near edge of tokamak plasma → 'pedestal'
  - Magnetic & rotational shear suppress turbulence



**Current density:** 

**EgFIT Using** 

NC Model

DIII-D

 $\sim$ 

2.

#### Combine Bootstrap with Auxiliary Current Drive in Steady State Tokamak

- Bootstrap fraction:  $f_{BS} \propto p/I^2 \propto C_{BS}\beta_N q_{95}$
- Additional current drive from RF heating
  - Requires suitable population  $\rightarrow$  high T
  - Collisions scatter electrons, reducing current
    - Requires low density

$$\Rightarrow f_{CD} \propto \frac{P_{CD}T}{n!R} \quad \propto C_{CD} \frac{P_{CD}\beta_NB}{n^2}$$

• Solve for current drive  $f_{BS} + f_{CD} = 1$ :

<u>Radio Frequency Current Drive</u> Wave accelerates electrons preferentially decreasing their collisionality

 $\mathbf{Q}_{CD} \propto \frac{P_{fus}}{P_{CD}} \propto \frac{1}{(1 - C_{BS}\beta_N q_{95})} \frac{C_{CD} \beta_N^3 B^3}{(n/I)^2} \iff \beta_N \text{ and } B \text{ always help!}$ More bootstrap removes need for current drive at high q<sub>95</sub> (lower current) Lower density  $\Rightarrow$  higher  $f_{CD}$ Higher current raises  $\mathbf{Q} \propto P_{fus} \sim \beta_N^2 I^2 B^2$ 

Alternate paths to steady state through **bootstrap** or current drive

# **Recap: Higher Beta or Higher Current?**

- Efficient fusion requires high Q
- Both heating and current sustainment have two optimization paths

-Heating power  $\leftarrow Q_{th} \propto \frac{\beta_N H B^3 R^3}{a^2} \rightarrow \text{through High } \beta_N H \text{ or High } I_P \text{ (low q)}$ 

-Current drive power  $\leftarrow q_{CD} \rightarrow \text{High } \beta$  or High I<sub>P</sub> directions

High bootstrap path 🔨 Challenges

- High  $\beta$  stability?
- Confinement?

**Efficient current drive path** 

#### Challenaes

- Low safety factor stability?
- Current drive technique?

What is the best path? High pressure, current or confinement efficiency?

# Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

- Paths to an efficient & compact fusion tokamak
  - -Plasma configurations, limits, pulsed & steady state
- The Steady State optimization
  - –Shaping, broad profiles & high  $\beta$  raise performance
    - Stability
    - Transport
    - Pedestal
    - Energetic particles
- Pilot power plant projection and benefits
- modes dissipated by wall curv turbulent eddy twisted & stabilized

Research needs

# $\beta_N$ Limiting Global MHD Modes Can Be Stabilized by Device Wall



 Pressure driven kink displaces magnetic flux about the plasma



 Conducting wall permits slow kink growth as flux diffuses through it



- Rotating mode sees ideal wall
  - Also mode gives energy to particles with rotational orbit resonances



 Magnetic feedback can control any residual mode

# above no-wall limit

**Enables stable operation** 



<sup>[</sup>Garofalo PoP 2006]

#### How do we increase wall stabilization of this pressure limit?

# Advanced Tokamak Benefits from Synergy of Shaping and Broad Profiles at High $\beta_{\text{N}}$

#### Shaping raises ideal MHD limits

- Increases current carrying capacity
- Extends eigen-structure into wall
- Broader pressure profile places pressure gradients in strong magnetic shear region
- Broader current displaces mode further into the wall
  - Effectively current perturbation gets closer to wall
  - Greater than additive benefit
- Higher β increases Shafranov shift (axis moves outward)
   Moves mode further to wall & raises shear

#### Effects combine to raise pressure in core by factor 5

- Self-consistently generates bootstrap current aligned with required profiles for stability



# Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

- Paths to an efficient & compact fusion tokamak
  - -Plasma configurations, limits, pulsed & steady state
- The Steady State optimization
  - –Shaping, broad profiles & high  $\beta$  raise performance
    - Stability
    - Transport
    - Pedestal
    - Energetic particles
- Pilot power plant projection and benefits
- Research needs

modes dissipated

by wall

curv

turbulent

eddy twisted

# Broad Profiles Also Improve Energy Confinement

- Particle drifts interact with low frequency electromagnetic waves causing instabilities and turbulence
- With peaked profiles, field lines align on bad curvature side → eddies grow radially
- Broad current profile drives negative local shear
  - Even though weak average shear
    - Eddies twist into good curvature region
      - Leads to turbulence stabilization
        - Accentuated by Shafranov shift:





Broad profiles and high  $\beta$  play key role in stabilizing turbulence

0.0

# Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

- Paths to an efficient & compact fusion tokamak
  - -Plasma configurations, limits, pulsed & steady state
- The Steady State optimization
  - –Shaping, broad profiles & high  $\beta$  raise performance
    - Stability
    - Transport
    - Pedestal
    - Energetic particles
- Pilot power plant projection and benefits
- Research needs



# Pedestal Model Projects Strong Shaping Raises Performance

#### Peeling-ballooning instability couples

- Fine scale ripple-like interchange
- Low order peel off of edge
- Modes well coupled at low shape
- High shaping see drives separate in parameter space
  - Opens valley in pedestal stability
  - Sweet spots at higher pressure & density
    - More elongation moves nose right
- Super H-Mode discovered on DIII-D
  - Record  $\beta_{\text{N}}\text{=}3.1$  with a quiescent edge



#### High shaping raises performance and density !

# Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

- Paths to an efficient & compact fusion tokamak
  - -Plasma configurations, limits, pulsed & steady state
- The Steady State optimization
  - –Shaping, broad profiles & high  $\beta$  raise performance
    - Stability
    - Transport
    - Pedestal
    - Energetic particles
- Pilot power plant projection and benefits



& stabilized

Research needs

#### Broad Current Profile Ensures Fusion Products Stay Confined



# Current Broadening De in DIII-D with Off Axis B

Fast ion confinement raised 25%

Key: Raise  $\rho_{\text{qmin}}$  to region of reduced EP gradient





RJ Buttery/USBPO/2022 31

# Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

- Paths to an efficient & compact fusion tokamak
  - -Plasma configurations, limits, pulsed & steady state

#### The Steady State optimization

- -Shaping, broad profiles & high β raise performance
  - Stability
  - Transport
  - Pedestal
  - Energetic particles

Enables high performance at lower current, reducing heat loads, recirculating power & device stress



- Pilot power plant projection and benefits
- Research needs

# Potential of Advanced Tokamak Approach to Steady State Demonstrated in DIII-D

- Lower current dramatically improves stability
  - Key: safety factor
  - No dependence on  $\beta_N$



- Broad current profile delivers high stability & confinement
  - Density at Greenwald value with high bootstrap fraction



### Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

#### • Paths to an efficient & compact fusion tokamak

- -Plasma configurations, limits, pulsed & steady state
- The Steady State optimization
  - –Shaping, broad profiles & high  $\beta$  raise performance
- Pilot power plant projection and benefits
  - -Key trends in optimization & attractive solutions
- Research needs



# Based on Steady State Concepts Reactor Analytics Show a More Efficient & Robust Path is Possible

Recall fusion power:

 $P_{Fus} \propto Pressure^2 R^3 \propto \beta_N^2 B^4 R^3/q^2$ 

- Raising  $\beta_N \& B$  will reduce required device size, **R**, and still leave net electric

Start from EU 'stepladder' DEMO

Adjust R to get P<sub>net</sub> = 200MW for given β<sub>N</sub> & B
P<sub>net</sub> = η<sub>th</sub>(P<sub>Fus</sub> + P<sub>heat</sub>) - P<sub>plant</sub> - P<sub>CD</sub>/η<sub>CD</sub>
Rapid decrease in device size possible...

lower P<sub>elec</sub>, higher B, higher β<sub>N</sub> & less CD

Smaller cheaper devices within reach



Used Integrated Physics Model to Design Device that Proves Net Electric Viability and Conducts Long Pulse Nuclear Testing

- Goal: Prove key principles at low capital cost
  - Net electricity Nuclear materials Breeding

#### Constraints:



Target Parameters	Rationale
Net electric (200MW)	Show fusion reactors can power themselves
Compact scale: 3 – 6m, 5 – 9T	Affordable
High bootstrap fraction (90%)	Reduce recirculating power & scale
Tolerable/significant neutron load	Nuclear testing mission: materials, breeding
Tolerable divertor challenge	Viable target for divertor research

#### Set tractable challenges where we expect progress in the next few years

First predictive approach to reactor design!

# **Compact** Pilot Plant Concept Drives Needs to Minimize Power Losses At Every Stage

- Large devices make plenty of fusion to heat plasma & power current drive
- Smaller devices must minimize → losses at every step
  - Otherwise no electricity left
  - Or they might melt!
- Key is to minimize recirculating power
  - Steady State approach
  - Efficient technology

Simulations explored how... ...with full physics models



6T, GA Systems Code

# FASTRAN Integrated Simulation Suite Provides Tool To Validate Physics Models & Project Performance



#### Higher Field is Highly Levering to Confinement

#### Higher field improves core confinement —

 From gyrokinetic treatment of core turbulence 7T vs 6T, Ip = 9.5 MA, n<sub>e</sub><sup>Ped</sup>/n<sub>ow</sub> = 0.9 Stored Energy (MJ) 250 -Total 150 -

Pedestal

50

75

PHYCO (MW)

100

100 -50 -0+ 25

Benefits not captured by simple scaling law approach – comes from physics treatment

#### Increasing Density Enables More Bootstrap & Less CD Power

- Density gradients drive bootstrap current more efficiently than temperature gradients\*
  - For given  $\beta_N$ , higher density raises bootstrap fraction modestly:  $f_{BS}$  from 70% to 90%
    - Decreases auxiliary current drive: 30% to 10%
  - Scope to raise  $\beta_N$  & net electric power with fixed auxiliary power

Requires density at pedestal to be close to the empirical tokamak 'Greenwald' density limit

\*Temperature effect depends on flows & orbits **P**<sub>NFT</sub> 200 Ower (MW) 100 P<sub>H/CD</sub> Fix  $\beta_N = 3.5$ 0.95 1.00 0.85 0.90  $n_{ened}/n_{GW}$ Density normalized to current

# Steady State Approach Provides High Confinement Reactor Solutions at 6–71 with 200MWe

• Higher density, field & efficiencies  $\Rightarrow \beta_N$  becomes highly levering to net electricity



Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement
 Enchlos more compared and lower of worst approach

- Enables more compact and lower current approach
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap

- 80-90% bootstrap current - reduce recirculating power



- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement
   Engbles more compact and lower current approach
  - Enables more compact and lower current approach
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap
   80-90% bootstrap current reduce recirculating power
- Lower current improves stability ->

- Removes low order surfaces that tear and disrupt





- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinemer
  - Enables more compact and lower current approach
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap - 80-90% bootstrap current - reduce recirculating power
- Lower current improves stability ->
  - Removes low order surfaces that tear and disrupt
  - High  $\beta$  wall-stabilized even with high wall distance





- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinemer
  - Enables more compact and lower current approach
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap
   80-90% bootstrap current reduce recirculating power
- Lower current improves stability ->
  - Removes low order surfaces that tear and disrupt
  - High  $\beta$  wall-stabilized even with high wall distance
    - >Reduced disruptivity, stresses and device risk



- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement
  - Enables more compact and lower current approach
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap
  - 80-90% bootstrap current reduce recirculating power
- Lower current improves stability & disruptions →
  - Reduced disruptivity, stresses and device risk

#### Requires less <u>aross</u> fusion performance per MWe

- Decreases neutron loads at wall

	6T	<b>7</b> T			
1	9.4	8.1			
q	4.9	6.5			
βN	4.2	3.6			
H <sub>98</sub>	1.3	1.5			
Q	10	17			
P <sub>heat</sub>	84	38			
$P_{fUS}$	873	658			
Neut.	2.3	1.8	ノ		
R=4m, $\eta_{TH} = \eta_{CD} = 0.4$ $n_e^{ped}/n_{GW} = 1,200$ MWe					

- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement
  - Enables more compact and lower current approach
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap
   80-90% bootstrap current reduce recirculating power
- Lower current improves stability & disruptions →
  - Reduced disruptivity, stresses and device risk
- Requires less <u>aross</u> fusion performance per MWe
   Decreases neutron loads at wall
- Lower fusion power and current reduce heat fluxes
  - Modest core radiation needed to reach ITER-like heat fluxes
    - Still enough power through plasma edge to maintain 'H-mode'
  - A 24/7 fusion power plant will need to go further

#### But key challenges remain...



	ITER	C-AT	Rad'n
$q_{  }$	85	85	20%
$q_{ heta}$	18	18	50%

#### Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

#### • Paths to an efficient & compact fusion tokamak

- -Plasma configurations, limits, pulsed & steady state
- The Steady State optimization
  - –Shaping, broad profiles & high  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  raise performance
- Pilot power plant projection and benefits
  - -Key trends in optimization & attractive solutions

#### Research needs



# Key Plasma Physics Challenges Remain

#### Critical plasma physics challenges

- Validate core physics solution in reactor regimes
   & relevant sources: stability, transport, EP, pedestal
- Scope the limits of density, pressure, confinement
- -24/7 power handling solution compatible with core
- Compatibility with wall materials
- Control of transients (disruptions, ELMs)
  - Issues common to all future concepts



- Upgrade and exploit flexibility of present facilities to rapidly deliver answers
- Execute key tests at high field (ITER, SPARC, DTT, BEST)
- Theory advances and model based understanding critical to path

#### DIII-D, SPARC & NSTX-U will confront these challenges



# Compact Approach Requires Advanced Engineering & Technology

# • Requires advanced bucking approach to deal with forces

 'Bucks' toroidal field coil forces off solenoid & central plug to cancel out stress by >50%



# Compact Approach Requires Advanced Engineering & Technology

# • Requires advanced bucking approach to deal with forces

 'Bucks' toroidal field coil forces off solenoid & central plug to cancel out stress by >50%

# High Temperature Superconductors enables demountability

- Permits changes out for nuclear materials mission
- Raises performance and increases duty cycle

#### • Broad technology program (CPP plan)

- Materials, breeding, power extraction, RF, reactor design, licensing, safety, etc.
- ITER plays key role in reactor scale expertise

#### Aggressive technology program required

#### Vertical change out scheme in Japanese SN design (C-AT is DN)



[Utoh, Fus. Eng. Des. 2017]

RJ Buttery/USBPO/2022 51

# Time is of the Essence

#### Need to rapidly address science and technology questions

- "what we'll know soon" don't wait for fantasy solutions
- Target research programs for near term answers
- Resolution of the confinement concept will emerge from forthcoming research on near term facilities
  - Partnership, complementarity, goal-orientation
  - Innovation, scientific foundation & models are key

I would say...

"Steady state concept confers key advantages in lowering required performance, disruptivity, heat flux and device stress."

...but we will all embrace what obviously works



# Time is of the Essence

#### Need to rapidly address science and technology questions

- "what we'll know soon" don't wait for fantasy solutions
- Target research programs for near term answers
- Resolution of the confinement concept will emerge from forthcoming research on near term facilities
  - Partnership, complementarity, goal-orientation
  - Innovation, scientific foundation & models are key
- Vital to invest in required technology programs and start serious reactor design studies
  - Critical to engage private sector, government too slow for major new facilities
  - Staggered decision making design process

# An exciting time – our research can resolve critical solutions to make fusion energy happen

