

ICRF-Edge and Surface Interactions

D.A. D'Ippolito and J.R. Myra
Lodestar Research Corporation

Corresponding author: D. A. D'Ippolito
(dippolito@lodestar.com)

Submitted to: Integrated Simulations / panel B Plasma Boundary

No oral talk

ICRF-Edge and Surface Interactions

D.A. D'Ippolito and J.R. Myra
Lodestar Research Corporation

Background: Plasma heating and current drive with ion cyclotron range of frequency (ICRF) antennas has been quite successful in past tokamak experiments and is foreseen to play an important role in ITER. However, in long-pulse or steady-state experiments the requirements are much more severe for control of rf sheaths and other unwanted interactions with the edge plasma and with material boundaries (antennas, limiters and wall). Necessary quantitative modeling tools do not yet exist. This white paper discusses some of the mechanisms that will impact the success of ITER and the future research that is needed.

Physical picture:

The goal of ICRF heating is to launch a fast wave (FW) which propagates into the core plasma and is completely absorbed there. In practice, the ideal is not always achieved. The fast wave can propagate in the scrape-off-layer (SOL) and be partially absorbed by boundary structures; the single pass absorption in the core plasma can be low for some wave components, so that wave energy is large at the wall; and the FW antenna can also launch a slow wave (SW) (either evanescent or propagating, but with an rf wave component E_{\parallel}) in the SOL when the magnetic field is not perfectly aligned with the antenna structure. When the FW encounters a material structure, the Maxwell equation boundary conditions require that it couple to the SW at the wall.

Thus, in all of the situations just described, the problem stems from that fact that SWs come in contact with a material boundary (wall, antenna or divertor) and drive *rf sheaths* there [1,2]. The parallel electric field in the slow wave accelerates electrons out of the plasma, with the result that a large (~ 100 V or more) rf sheath potential forms to confine the electrons. The plasma acquires a positive DC bias with respect to the wall, so the DC (rectified) sheath potential also accelerates ions out of the plasma. This provides a source of energetic ions for sputtering the boundary, and results in an unwanted edge power dissipation channel. The sheath power dissipation reduces the overall heating efficiency and can also cause hot spots and physical damage on material structures, especially in long-pulse experiments.[3] Finally, the rf sheath potential drives radial $E \times B$ convection [4] in front of the antenna, which increases the radial flux of plasma to the wall. All of these effects have been verified at least at a qualitative level by detailed comparison of models with experimental data from tokamaks (JET [5], TFTR [6], Tore Supra [7], C-MOD [8], ASDEX-U [9], etc.)

Challenges:

(1) *rf sheath modeling:* The parasitic rf sheath interactions need to be minimized in future long-pulse, high-power experiments (ITER, DEMO). This will require accurate quantitative modeling of sheath formation in both antenna coupling codes and ICRF wave propagation codes, thereby obtaining a good description of core-SOL coupling. This is a difficult computational problem because (a) it is sensitive to the detailed geometry of antenna and PFCs (plasma facing components) in the SOL, (b) it requires treatment of both the ion and electron Debye length space scales, either explicitly, or by a sheath boundary condition [10,11] which is nonlinear. The present rf SciDAC project has made significant progress in classifying the various sheath mechanisms and incorporating a sheath BC into nonlinear rf codes in both the frequency and time domains.

(2) *physics integration*: The physics of rf sheath formation, and more generally all nonlinear rf-plasma interactions, must be coupled to other important edge problems [12]. This will require an interdisciplinary approach. Examples of such problems are (a) quantitative prediction of the self-consistent properties of the SOL plasma (e.g. density and temperature, both mean and intermittent properties) in the presence of intense rf waves, and (b) understanding the effect of rf waves on plasma-wall interactions. These are discussed in more detail below.

(a) Predicting the characteristics of the SOL plasma: Having a quantitative, predictive modeling capability for the SOL plasma is important for several reasons. The SOL profiles impact the divertor, antenna and plasma-wall coupling. For example, sheath-driven interactions (such as sputtering and power dissipation) are proportional to the flux of the plasma into the surface (antenna or wall), and the antenna coupling is sensitive to the edge density. Thus, both the antenna coupling and antenna heating efficiency in ITER are dependent on the radial “profile” of the SOL density, which at present cannot be computed from first principles. Actually the SOL density is intermittent in both time and space, and antenna plasma interaction will depend as well on SOL electric fields. A goal of future work should be to develop the physics integration necessary for this problem. This would include e.g. turbulent (blob) transport in the SOL [13,14], rf-sheath-driven radial convection, [4] and atomic and surface physics to understand the sources (ionization, sputtering, and recycling).

(b) Plasma-wall interaction studies: There is a long history of experimental data pointing towards rf interactions with the wall, including fast density rise and impurity generation when the rf is turned on. The physics of rf sheaths provides one important mechanism. As discussed above, a radiofrequency wave striking a material surface generates a slow wave, and thus an rf sheath potential. In many cases, the rf sheath potential can greatly exceed the Bohm potential. This effect is further enhanced if hot electrons are present, either from ICRF, LH or other sources. Past work has shown that enhanced self-sputtering of high-Z materials (and in extreme cases, impurity avalanche) is possible when ion acceleration in the rf sheath potential is taken into account.[15] When a high-Z material is chosen for the first wall material, as in ITER, this could be an important issue.

Recent Progress:

The rf SciDAC team has ongoing projects relevant to this topic on (i) coupling of ICRF wave codes and antenna codes and (ii) quantitative sheath potential calculations using a sheath BC approach. This work is needed to eventually develop an integrated core / edge / SOL / wall simulation including ICRF. See the whitepaper by Bertelli et al. on “RF waves and edge/SOL plasma interactions” [16]. This is an area in which the U.S. has played a leading role in the world fusion program.

Future work: A long-term plan to improve our quantitative modeling in this area would also include integration of SOL turbulence codes (including sources and sinks), wave codes (including sheath physics), and plasma-wall modeling codes. It is expected that such studies would identify strategies for optimum ICRF operation. Finally, a better experimental characterization of the SOL, including the 3D variation of the plasma potential in the presence of rf, would be extremely useful.

References

- ¹ J.-M. Noterdaeme and G. Van Oost, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **35**, 1481 (1993).
- ² J. R. Myra, D. A. D'Ippolito, D. A. Russell, L. A. Berry, E. F. Jaeger, and M. D. Carter, *Nucl. Fusion* **46**, S455-S468 (2006).
- ³ D.A. D'Ippolito and J.R. Myra, *J. Nucl. Mater.* **415**, S1001- S1004 (2011).
- ⁴ D. A. D'Ippolito, J.R. Myra, J. Jacquinot, and M. Bures, *Phys. Fluids B* **5**, 3603 (1993).
- ⁵ M. Bureš, J. Jacquinot, K. Lawson, M. Stamp, et. al., *Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion* **33**, 937 (1991).
- ⁶ D. A. D'Ippolito, J. R. Myra, J. H. Rogers, K. W. Hill, J. C. Hosea, R. Majeski, G. Schilling, J. R. Wilson, G. R. Hanson, A. C. England and J. B. Wilgen, *Nucl. Fusion* **38**, 1543 (1998).
- ⁷ L. Colas, J. Jacquot, S. Heuroux, E. Faudot, K. Crombé, V. Kyrtsya, J. Hillairet and M. Goniche, *Phys. Plasmas* **19**, 092505 (2012).
- ⁸ S. J. Wukitch, M. L. Garrett, R. Ochoukov, J. L. Terry, A. Hubbard, B. Labombard, C. Lau, Y. Lin, B. Lipschultz, D. Miller, M. L. Reinke, D. Whyte, and Alcator C-Mod Team, *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 056117 (2013).
- ⁹ V. Bobkov, M. Balden, R. Bilato, F. Braun, R. Dux, A. Herrmann, H. Faugel, H. Fünfgelder, L. Giannone, A. Kallenbach, H. Maier, H.W. Müller, R. Neu, J.-M. Noterdaeme, Th. Pütterich, V. Rohde, N. Tsujii, F. Zeus, H. Zohm and the ASDEX Upgrade Team, *Nucl. Fusion* **53**, 093018 (2013).
- ¹⁰ J. R. Myra, D. A. D'Ippolito and M. Bures, *Phys. Plasmas* **1**, 2890 (1994).
- ¹¹ D. A. D'Ippolito and J. R. Myra, *Phys. Plasmas* **13**, 102508 (2006).
- ¹² J.R. Myra and D.A. D'Ippolito, accompanying whitepaper on "Understanding the SOL: Fundamental Physics Challenges"
- ¹³ S. I. Krasheninnikov, D. A. D'Ippolito and J. R. Myra, *J. Plasma Phys.* **74**, 679 (2008).
- ¹⁴ D. A. D'Ippolito, J. R. Myra and S. J. Zweben, *Phys. Plasmas* **18**, 060501 (2011).
- ¹⁵ D. A. D'Ippolito, J. R. Myra, M. Bures and J. Jacquinot, *Plasma Phys. and Controlled Fusion* **33**, 607 (1991).
- ¹⁶ N. Bertelli et al., whitepaper on "RF waves and edge/SOL plasma interactions," Integrated Simulation Workshop, Panel B.